



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/764,810 01/16/2001 42390P10140 Abraham Mendelson 7766 8791 EXAMINER 7590 06/07/2005 **BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN** KIM, HONG CHONG 12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT SEVENTH FLOOR LOS ANGELES, CA 90025-1030 2186

DATE MAILED: 06/07/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	09/764,810	MENDELSON ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Hong C. Kim	2186
The MAILING DATE of this communic eriod for Reply	cation appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commu - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum state - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply we Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION.  of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may unication.  of days, a reply within the statutory minimum of tutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) Now will, by statute, cause the application to become	v a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timely. SONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
tatus		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	d on <i>13 May 2005</i>	
, <u> </u>	b)⊠ This action is non-final.	
3)☐ Since this application is in condition for	<del>,</del>	atters, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice	·	-
isposition of Claims	-	
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are pending in the ap	oplication.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are	•	•
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6) Claim(s) <u>1-6,10-16,20-25 and 30</u> is/ai	re reiected.	
7) Claim(s) <u>7-9,17-19 and 27-29</u> is/are of	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
8) Claim(s) are subject to restrict	•	
pplication Papers	•	
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the	Examiner	
	a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected :	to by the Examiner
Applicant may not request that any object		
	-, ,	ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to	· ·	
riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	or foreign priority under 35 U.S.C	s. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority d</li> </ol>	locuments have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority d	locuments have been received in	Application No
3. Copies of the certified copies of	f the priority documents have be	en received in this National Stage
application from the Internation		
* See the attached detailed Office action	for a list of the certified copies n	ot received.
ttachment(s)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	w Summary (PTO-413)
I. I. Notice of Droffenorgen's Detect Drowing Devices (DT	D N	lo(s)/Mail Date
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or P		of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

11h

Application/Control Number: 09/764,810 Page 2

Art Unit: 2186

#### **Detailed Action**

1. Claims 1-30 are presented for examination. This office action is in response to the RCE filed on 5/13/05.

2. Applicants are reminded of the duty to disclose information under 37 CFR 1.56.

## Claim Objections

3. Claim 28 is objected to because of the following informalities: It appears that "claim 24" should be changed to – claim 26—for consistency. Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-6, 10, 11-16, 20, 21-26 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over "The cache Memory Book", Jim Handy, Academic Press, 1993, pp 37-93 (Handy) in view of Arlitt et al. (Arlitt) US Paten No. 6,272,598.

As to claims 1, 11, and 21, Handy discloses an apparatus comprising: a cache manager (Fig. 2.10 control logic) to manage a transfer (page 63 eviction and page 55, replace algorithm read on this limitation) of a trace (Page 60 instruction cache read on

this limitation); an execution unit (Fig. 2.10 CPU); a first cache (Fig. 2.23 L1 and Section 2.2.10) coupled to the cache manger to evict the trace based on a replacement mechanism (page 63 eviction and page 55, replace algorithm read on this limitation and since during a cache miss, a line is needed to evict to free up a space for a new entry); and a second cache (Section 2.2.10 L3 cache) coupled to the cache manager to receive the evicted trace based on a replacement algorithm (page 63 eviction and page 55, replace algorithm read on this limitation and since during a cache miss in L2, a line is needed to evict to L3 to free up a space for a new entry in L2) however, Handy does not specifically disclose the second cache coupled to the cache manager to receive the evicted trace based on a first number of access to the trace.

Arlitt discloses a cache to receive the evicted trace based on a first number of access to the trace (col. 6 lines 43-55) for the purpose of increasing the hit rate thereby increasing the system speed by retaining popular lines for longer time periods (col. 6 lines 52-55).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to replace LRU algorithm in L2 with LFU/MFU algorithm thereby the cache coupled to the cache manager to receive the evicted trace based on a first number of access to the trace as taught by Arlitt into the invention of Handy for the advantages stated above.

As to claims 2, 12, and 22, Arlitt further discloses a usage counter (col. 6 line 45 and LFU/MFU replacement policy reads on this limitation).

As to claims 3, 13, and 23, Arlitt further discloses a comparator and a first threshold value that being a fixed number or a first dynamically adjusted number (col. 6 lines 49, frequency count exceeds a threshold parameter reads on this limitation).

As to claims 4, 14, and 24, Handy further discloses the trace is transferred from the first cache to the second cache (Fig. 2.23 and Section 2.2.10). Arlitt further discloses the trace is transferred when the first threshold value is less than the first number of accesses to the trace (col. 6 lines 43-55).

As to claims 5, 15, and 25, Arlitt further discloses the trace is discarded when the first threshold value is more than the first number of accesses to the trace (replacement or eviction reads on this limitation since a line is evicted to the L2 col. 6 lines 43-55).

As to claims 6, 16, and 26, Handy further discloses a L2 cache (Section 2.2.10).

As to claims 10, 20, and 30, Handy further discloses a LRU mechanism (col. 2 lines 26-27).

#### Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 7-9, 17-19, and 27-29 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all

of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims and if rewritten or amended to overcome the claim objection(s) or claim rejection(s), set forth in this Office action.

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-30 have been considered but are 6. moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

- 1. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. See attached PTO-892.
- 2. A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire 3 (three) months and 0 (zero) days from the mail date of this letter. Failure to respond within the period for response will result in ABANDONMENT of the application (see 35 USC 133, MPEP 710.02, 710.02(b)).
- 3. When responding to the office action, Applicant is advised to clearly point out the patentable novelty which he or she thinks the claims present in view of the state of the art disclosed by the references cited or the objections made. He or she must also show how the amendments avoid such references or objections. See 37 C.F.R. ' 1.111(c).

Application/Control Number: 09/764,810 Page 6

Art Unit: 2186

4. When responding to the office action, Applicants are advised to provide the examiner with the line numbers and page numbers in the application and/or references cited to assist examiner to locate the appropriate paragraphs.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hong Kim whose telephone number is (571) 272-4181. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00 to 6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matt Kim can be reached on (571) 272-4182. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the TC 2100 whose telephone number is (571) 272-2100.

6. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

## 7. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

or faxed to TC-2100:

(703) 872-9306

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to the Customer Service Window (Randolph Building, 401 Dulany Street, Alexandria, VA 22314).

H Kim

Primary Patent Examiner

June 4, 2005